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October 2013
A Letter to the Citizens of Annapolis

In 2010, Mayor Josh Cohen directed that a citizens’ committee be formed to advise the City on rejuvenating City Dock – the City Dock Advisory Committee (CDAC). The Mayor charged us with three objectives: to establish guiding principles for the use and redevelopment of City Dock, to develop a master plan based on those principles, and to encourage and coordinate public participation throughout the planning process. CDAC has now completed our tasks and we are pleased to deliver this master plan report. We published our first report, Visions and Principles, Phase One Report, in July 2011. It has been an honor for us to serve the City in preparing this Plan, which we hope will help bring economic revitalization to City Dock; the City’s Beautiful Historic Seaport.

As part of our work we hosted two citizen work sessions at the Old Recreation Center at City Dock. At the first, citizens reviewed alternative approaches to addressing broad concerns, such as open space, pedestrian and vehicular circulation, and access to the water, and evaluated the relative strengths and weaknesses of different ideas. At the second workshop, we asked citizens to evaluate and deliberate on a preliminary master plan. We also held seven committee meetings between May and November 2012 as we prepared this Master Plan; each was open to the public and the input we received at these meetings helped shape this document.

We embrace the outcome of our efforts yet we note that we are not unanimous in our support of two elements of the Plan. The first concerns the intersection of Compromise, Main, and Randall. While one-half of our committee supports the Plan’s call to convert Memorial Circle to a “T” intersection, the other half has reservations about any such change and would generally prefer modifications, or no changes at all, to the current circle. The CDAC does agree that the intersection needs further evaluation to assess traffic operational and aesthetic concerns because of its central role, for better or for worse, in shaping the pedestrian experience and the opportunities for public space. The second element is parking along Dock Street. While we are less divided on this question, we recognize that reducing the number of parking spaces along Dock Street will require the City to commit to effective parking management strategies to ensure that short-term customer parking remains available even while the total number of spaces on Dock Street is reduced. We encourage the City to balance the planned open space improvements with thoughtful implementation of parking management and involve the business owners on Dock and Market Streets.

A considerable amount of work has been undertaken and more than anything, it has revealed to us the great complexities that attend any effort to prepare a plan for such a unique and significant part of our City. The consensus of CDAC is that this Master Plan is a guide to public and private decision-making. We encourage the City to work diligently in implementing it and to seek, on an ongoing basis, the input of all members of the community. We know that any plan will take years to implement, that options will be tried and tested, learning will take place and new responses will be adopted. We are encouraged that the unanimously supported principles we established in 2011 are not only achievable with this Plan; they are its very foundation.

Much work still lies ahead now that we have completed our assignment. This Plan will be reviewed by the Planning Commission, which is officially charged with making plans that guide development and redevelopment in Annapolis. The Historic Preservation Commission and other appointed or voluntary associations and commissioners both in and outside of City government will review and comment on this Plan. To those groups we ask first and foremost that you recognize, as we have, that there is a broad set of community interests, values, and concerns, many of which are competing. These varying concerns and interests must be held in balance and respected.

We understand that a Master Plan is a document that provides direction and guidance; it is not a detailed design to be quibbled over or a static design that can never be adjusted. The illustrated plan in this report is a hopeful target; a destination point to be arrived at. In order to get there, we ask all concerned to remember that each decision made at City Dock, whether
it concerns a private request for a zoning change or a public need for flood protection, has the opportunity to either detract from or contribute to this Plan. We respectfully and earnestly ask the Mayor and City Council to weigh such decisions against this Master Plan, which at its core reflects the public's interest and aspirations for the future of City Dock.
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The City Dock Master Plan

Annapolis enjoys a national reputation as a desirable place both to live and to visit, based on its history and access to the Chesapeake Bay. Downtown businesses cite these same factors as key advantages for competing on both a local and regional basis. Annapolis’ unique history, with an intact historical city plan and architecture, combined with its location on the Chesapeake Bay are powerful and desirable qualities. These qualities and the amenities that come along with them are enviable by any world-class city.

The City Dock is an intrinsic part of the town. It has been the heart of the City since our founding. The dock was initially developed as a robust commercial seaport. When the ever-increasing size of ships eventually shifted the freight and passenger business to Baltimore, City Dock became the hub of the City’s vibrant fishing, crabbing, oystering, and warehousing industries and it became the City’s central market. Changes in the Chesapeake’s resources and the local economy have caused City Dock to evolve again during our lifetimes. Today millions of visitors and thousands of recreational boaters visit City Dock each year. The images of the City Dock area, framed by intact historic buildings from the 18th and 19th centuries, have become iconic emblems of Annapolis, the beautiful historic seaport.

City Dock is not without challenges, however. For decades studies have criticized the area for “giving cars the best view of the water”. There is little human-scale open space to congregate, to dine, to entertain and to be entertained. The feeling of a historic seaport is marred by visual clutter. Viewsheets and key sight lines are compromised. Repeated flooding damages buildings, necessary infrastructure and creates an obstacle for visitors and customers who might otherwise enjoy their downtown experience. Businesses, who compete with development outside the district, indicate a concern with sustaining a year round, vibrant and inviting city center.

The 2009 Annapolis Comprehensive Plan called for a plan for the future of City Dock that would:

- Maximize public access to the waterfront;
- Maximize pedestrian and bicycle friendly features;
- Incorporate a variety of open places, both large and small, for people to congregate for various purposes;
- Accommodate boats of all types, as well as docking for cruise boats, commercial vessels, and water taxis;
- When hosting public events, balance the needs and interests of residents, businesses, and the event;
- Include a transportation element which will clear the proposed civic space of parking places for motor vehicles, and provide an alternate nearby site for such parking and/or remote parking with shuttle transportation;
- Propose measures, including those related to transportation and parking, which are necessary to keep existing Dock Street merchants viable.

This Plan is a response to the place of City Dock, as it is. It does not seek to impose ideas but instead helps reveal the potential and possibility held in the current condition, the beautiful historic Annapolis seaport. The Plan offers responses to the needs of today and tomorrow but is grounded in a profound respect for the historical context of Annapolis.
The Master Plan is illustrated here. It is not meant to be static in its design. It is instead a guide to decision-making for the next 20 years. The Master Plan should guide infrastructure improvements, redevelopment plans, and zoning decisions. Since the Plan was prepared with a great deal of citizen involvement, it also stands as an invitation to the citizens of Annapolis to work toward realizing the new possibilities that can be found at City Dock.
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I. Annapolis City Dock

In as much as any place can, City Dock holds within its frame a long-running conversation about community that has much to offer. The radial streets of the 1695 Annapolis city plan lead to a beautiful place at the water’s edge. It is a place of everyday commerce and special civic gatherings, a place of arrival and departure, a place for chance encounters. As the Alex Haley Memorial reminds us, it is also a place for honoring the triumph of the human spirit.

Both individual and collective efforts have for centuries sculpted and re-sculpted City Dock. In the 18th and 19th century, the City formalized, and filled the inlet using all manner of fill—oyster shells, lumber, rock, and dredge. In the process the City created new land and Annapolitans built maritime buildings and commercial enterprises. Buildings, businesses, and infrastructure on City Dock were replaced again and again in a process of continual change.

The Market House took form at City Dock in the early part of the City’s history and by the late 19th century the public space around Market House had achieved a formal structure. It was improved into a park with trees and a traffic circle between Green Street and Middleton’s Tavern. By the mid 20th century use of the space within the circle was privatized and eventually it gave way to the circulation demands of the automobile. Compromise Street was extended to Spa Creek by this time and thus City Dock was connected to Eastport via road.

By the middle of the 20th century, many of the buildings on the north side of City Dock had been replaced with the parking lots that are still there today and the building pattern along Compromise Street had begun to take the form we see now. City Dock is not what it was centuries ago but its history is recognizable in today’s patterns, vistas, buildings, and commercial activities. The architecture and streetscapes, human in scale, contribute to the character, feel, and setting. Annapolis’ unique small-town historic harbor distinguishes our community and our economy from the waterfront developments of surrounding cities. This Plan is respectful to the rich history and integrity of the area, preserves viewsheds and sightlines, and does not compete with the historic character. In the study area there is a collection of 18th and 19th Century architecture that is highly significant to Maryland and to the entire nation. City Dock is not one particular period in time that we are trying to recreate; rather this Plan sets forth a framework that manages change, promotes an economically sustainable cultural asset, and balances 21st Century needs within an intact historic setting.

City Dock has much history still to come and its continual change will speak to future Annapolitans of today’s values and today's responses to changing needs and conditions. A central and integral objective of this Plan is the rejuvenation and sustained economic revitalization of City Dock. The Plan envisions critically important investments such as wider sidewalks, public spaces, flood protection, and public arts programming that reinforces the Annapolis Beautiful Historic Seaport brand, and thoughtful management of parking supplies that increase the availability of customer parking. These are among the public space investments that have helped revitalize downtown waterfront district throughout the world.
II. Guiding Principles Applied

In 2010, Mayor Josh Cohen directed the Planning Department to form a citizens’ committee to advise the City on rejuvenating City Dock. Twenty-five members reflecting varying interests were appointed and the City Dock Advisory Committee (CDAC) began its work. The Mayor charged the CDAC with establishing guiding principles for the use and redevelopment of City Dock, developing a master plan based on those principles, and encouraging and coordinating public participation throughout the planning process. CDAC published its first report, City Dock Advisory Committee: Visions and Guiding Principles, Phase One Report, in July 2011 after outreach to the Annapolis community. The principles as adopted by CDAC are listed in Appendix A of this report and CDAC’s Phase One Report is Appendix B.

CDAC’s guiding principles are the foundation for this Master Plan and the presentation, which follows, is organized around these principles. Each of the next sections leads with a summary statement of a guiding principle: (1) Gradual Improvement with Emphasis on Maintaining the Integrity of the Colonial Annapolis Historic Landmark District, including Historic Layout, Scale, Vistas, (2) High Quality Walkable Public Open Spaces, (3) Toward Balance in Transportation on City Dock, (4) Greening and Sustainability, and (5) Public Art: Nurturing the Uniqueness of Place.
A. Gradual Improvement with Emphasis on Maintaining the Integrity of the Colonial Annapolis Historic Landmark District, including Historic Layout, Scale, Vistas

**Gradual Improvements and Emphasis on Context**

As an example of how a master plan works with gradual improvement and emphasis on context, consider the sidewalk in front of the businesses on City Dock. It is too narrow to handle regular pedestrian traffic and it is an obstacle to the flow of pedestrians especially along the 100 block of Dock Street. Widening the sidewalk while holding its new edge parallel to the bulkhead rather than to the buildings has the effect of creating an increasingly wider pedestrian zone along the building frontage as the sidewalk extends eastward to Craig Street. As sidewalks approach 30 or more feet in width they can become places for outdoor dining, shade, street furniture, bicycle parking, and more, all of which increases social and economic vitality. This public improvement therefore creates a new center of activity that draws people out to Dock Street. With the enlargement of the existing sidewalk to create a larger pedestrian zone in front of the buildings, the Plan also effectively defines the edge of Dock Street, which can then be seen as a well-defined commercial street rather than as drive aisle through a parking lot. Improvements such as above should be made gradually in time so that the City can assess how they are working before making the next improvement.
There are other such instances, such as at Market House, where modest changes find their genesis in an historic framework. On the south end of Market House (facing Green Street), the Plan seeks to reclaim space for public use. Perhaps nowhere else in Annapolis does the potential exist for an outdoor room so close to the water and yet so nicely framed by the City’s historic architecture. In reclaiming this space for people, the Plan reclaims the historic urban fabric of City Dock, rededicating space that had historically been available for public use. This potential is achievable, if the streetscape is modified by either the "T" intersection or the shift-circle option that are discussed later in the Plan.
Scale and Vistas

The City Plan for Annapolis (1695) is in the Grand Manner or Baroque style. Not unlike plans for Paris, Rome, and Washington D.C., the Annapolis City Plan makes grand gestures with radiating streets and open vistas. These enduring elements of civic beauty are not accidents of topography or the unintended result of private decision-making about building or development. These features of City Dock are by design; they are intentional.

The long view enjoyed from along Main Street out to the Chesapeake Bay is intentional and nothing in the Master Plan impedes or distracts from this view.

Also critical is the potential for sweeping views from nearer to the foot of Main Street out over City Dock to the Annapolis Harbor. While the great expanse of this view has not yet been realized because of buildings and other structures, its potential is inherent in the City’s historic plan. In fact, when the 1695 Plan was laid out there were no structures (not even land) where the former Fawcett’s building now stands. The Plan therefore restores the viewshed envisioned centuries ago. As illustrated on this page, the Plan provides opportunities for new buildings while securing this view in perpetuity. The Plan calls for removing the old Fawcett’s Building from the viewshed; allowing Annapolis to seize the opportunity to realize this potential that is held on City Dock. There are other views, to and from the water, that define the context of City Dock and great care and discernment will need to be brought to bear in the future as development projects are both proposed and reviewed.

On City Dock, no private development or public use, space, square, building, or art whether existing or to be constructed can be viewed in isolation. It must be considered within its historic and physical context. This includes parking. The allocation of so much public land to the parking of private vehicles severely undervalues City Dock and historic Annapolis.
Scale and New Buildings

The Plan envisions that redevelopment will occur on City Dock. New construction in the opportunity sites must preserve the design guidelines and architectural principles found throughout the historic landmark district with regard to scale, massing, and rhythm and remain subject to review and approval by the Historic Preservation Commission, as is presently the case. In order to facilitate new construction in the opportunity sites, the Historic Preservation Commission, as part of its review, should have the authority to grant small tolerances to allowable height if new construction is not otherwise feasible.

Three opportunity sites are shown on the exhibit below. Each project has the ability to contribute to the context and setting of City Dock and indeed each has the potential to distract from it as well. Redevelopment of the opportunity sites should retain the “small town feel” of downtown Annapolis. The approximate footprint of the buildings are set outside of the principal viewsheds to and from the water. In addition the Harbormaster Building, which presently forms a wall across the middle of Dock Street, is removed.

On the former Fawcett’s site, the Plan’s principal objectives include setting new buildings back from the water’s edge by 50 to 55 feet. This allows space for the promenade and ample room for flood mitigation infrastructure while leaving space for outdoor use by the users of the building in ways that will energize and enliven this side of City Dock. For similar reasons, and to provide views toward the water from Compromise Street, the building should be set back 20 to 25 feet from Newman Street. It is recommended that the buildings have a smaller setback along Compromise Street; 15 to 20 feet would be about enough to secure the proposed sidewalk width needed in this area. The massing of building(s) on the former Fawcett site should enhance the historic character and provide a compatible backdrop to the proposed public space on the Donner Lot and help frame, in the distance, the proposed market square. Two and one-half stories are envisioned but subject to analysis of specific design proposals within the existing historic context. It will be imperative that viewseshd analyses be undertaken during the plan-review process for any projects in this opportunity site.

New construction in the opportunity areas can be designed so that the height of the buildings is stepped back from the street, lessening the impact on the viewsheid.
With the proposed opportunity sites along Dock Street, removing the Harbormaster Building and moving the building footprints outward as depicted will activate and frame the open space facing the water. Currently the Harbormaster Building and the large offset to the outer Dock Street sidewalk present a visual barrier that inhibits pedestrian flow out the landward side of Dock Street. Reconfiguring the building footprints would allow the buildings to be on a continuous sightline, helpful to the flow of pedestrian retail traffic. Bringing the architecture closer to the water brings the people closer to the water and generates more vitality and business activity.

Flexing of footprint and height that respects viewsheds and sight lines may be appropriate in the immediate context because there are fewer historic properties in this location and the USNA backdrop compromises the streetscape. At the same time we must acknowledge the present building line that dates back at least as far as 1878. Prior to enacting changes in the Dock Street opportunity sites, the City must prepare a professional cultural landscape report that recognizes the National Historic Landmark designation and applies the Secretary of the Interior’s standard’s for treatment of historic properties in assessing the significant historic assets in the vicinity, conducting a viewshed analysis, and determining what, if any, adverse effect would occur on the integrity of the District if the proposed move of the existing building line from Dock Street towards Market Slip is implemented. The study must be done under the direction of City staff and specifically the Chief of Historic Preservation to ensure its relevance to preservation requirements. The study results will be presented to the City Council and the Historic Preservation Commission for consideration.

The proposed changes to building footprints in the opportunity sites will have impacts on the business climate in the area. This Plan envisions those changes will be positive, but they are not without risk. The restructuring of the built environment must not take place until the City has assessed to City Council’s satisfaction how the development will affect existing businesses, including the Annapolis Boat Shows, and the ability of the area to support new businesses given the constraints of space, parking, and transportation.
B. High Quality Pedestrian-Oriented and Walkable Open Space

Parks and Open Spaces

Much of City Dock is public, but, with few isolated exceptions, it is not yet a public space. The Plan makes a firm commitment to improve conditions for people by creating new spaces and an improved pedestrian environment, not through bold gestures, but through small deliberate changes that help tie City Dock together. This is a central organizing principle of this Plan.

The Plan locates public recreational spaces at locations that seem obvious. The Plan calls for an improved Susan Campbell Park where the main pedestrian routes terminate at the furthest reach of the land. It calls for a new public space at the Donner Lot, which lies adjacent to the water and thereby secures the view to and from the water. The Plan calls for a new park at the naturally low-lying area where Newman Street reaches the water. This park would provide access to the water and consistent with so much public input, this park would effectively extend play space for the City's children from the playground at Newman and Compromise down to the water. The Plan also calls for a new civic space at Market House and public/private spaces—outdoor dining, for example, adjacent to what could become new buildings in the future.
Market Square

A new central market square is proposed at Market House. The space is already framed by historic buildings and activated by retail and restaurants. It affords spectacular views eastward down Ego Alley. This space could provide outdoor seating for Market House vendors and the customers of other businesses. It should secure space for the Compass Rose, the Memorial Circle flag, and shade trees. The space would be enlivened with a continual flow of pedestrians along its perimeter as people walk from Main Street out to City Dock and back. This market square visually extends over Randall Street to the water’s edge at the head of Ego Alley. As shown below the consistency in surface materials can create the sense of one larger place.

Presently Market House and Hopkins Plaza together comprise 16,000 square feet. As proposed in this Plan, the total space would approximate 22,800 square feet. The square in front of Market House could extend 150 feet from the edge of Market House toward Main Street and 100 feet across from Market Place to Randall Street. Businesses with sidewalk frontage could extend out into Market Space or at least onto the proposed wider sidewalks which would extend 24 to 30 feet from the building’s edge. Beginning at the approaches from all directions, the intersection can become a slow moving environment through the use of textured pavement and other means to calm traffic.
A Promenade

The most prominent walkable public space would be the promenade. The Plan calls for it to extend from Newman Street around Ego Alley out to Susan Campbell Park. The promenade would retain its 15-foot width between Randall Street and the Water Taxi dockage. Beyond that point it would widen as it approaches the bulkhead at the end of City. It would provide views of the water uninterrupted by parked cars and would be wide enough to be multi-functional, while providing the space needs for docking activities. It could accommodate Boat Show exhibitor space, public art installations, seasonal shade structures and other objects and events.

On the south side of City Dock, from the Donner Lot to Newman Street, the promenade could range from 15 to 30 feet. The cross section below shows a promenade of 25 feet in width.
C. Toward Balance in Transportation

Accommodating the movement and parking of cars at City Dock came at the expense of the pedestrian environment. Nearly half of the City Dock study area is covered in streets or parking lots. On City Dock, pedestrian spaces, and public space more generally, are confined to areas not required by cars. This factor, more than any other, has disconnected the City and its residents from the waterfront. When people speak of access to the water they speak of the ability to be near it, to walk along it, to enjoy the wind and views. It is telling that the most active place on City Dock is the bulkhead closest to Randall and near the Alex Haley sculpture where one can feed the ducks and sit close to the water. This Plan provides for a transition to a future in which the design of public spaces, the planning for pedestrian movements, and the planning for the circulation and parking of cars are considered together.

A simple example of the transition the Plan is making in favor of integrated and balanced city planning is Dock Street. As mentioned previously, under this Plan it would become a well-defined public street much like any business street in Annapolis with ample short-term parking and sidewalks. The extra pavement along the water’s edge now devoted to parking would be re-purposed for essential public goods such as flood protection and for wider sidewalks along the storefronts. A proposed cross-section of Dock Street facing the Market House is shown here.
Main Street, Randall Street, and Compromise Street: The Intersection at the Heart of City Dock

The most prominent example of transition toward balance can be found in the Plan’s approach to the intersection of Compromise, Main, and Randall. While the City Dock Advisory Committee could not find consensus on how best to address this intersection, the Plan does recognize that shifting Memorial Circle or converting the circle to a "T" intersection are opportunities to improve the pedestrian experience and create useable public spaces. Therefore the Plan recognizes that more community discussion, informed with the benefit of research, will need to be devoted to this question. More detail regarding the intersection options is provided in Section C, Part III. (Also see Appendix C, D, and E.) Improving traffic flow at City Dock remains a challenge due to its dual nature: during the week cars drive through City Dock. On weekends visitors coming to City Dock are added to that traffic, creating a more congested environment. Changes that may improve one will impact the other. Improved traffic operations are not the only benefit of a new intersection; the main public benefit is the balance it brings to the flow of cars and pedestrians year-round while allowing useable public space at Market House and the Alex Haley Memorial.

A "T" intersection assists pedestrians in three ways. First, it allows multiple street crossings aligned with the routes pedestrians desire to take. Pedestrians would no longer be forced into circuitous movements around the intersection or unsafe crossings through the roundabout. This distributes pedestrian loadings and reduces the crowding at the Randall/Dock Street intersection. Second, the "T" allows the intersection to be signalized, providing "green time" exclusively for pedestrians while all traffic is stopped. Context-sensitive traffic signal poles would be used and the signals would be synchronized to allow greater time for pedestrians when most needed, and less time when not. Third, the "T" configuration allows lane widths and turning radii to be smaller, which reduces walking distances across the street and especially benefits the elderly, disabled, and persons with small children.

However, several concerns have been cited regarding the "T" intersection. These include the increased automotive transit times through the
intersection during normal operation, the elimination of most of the “ad hoc” loading zones in the study area, the elimination of a historical element of the streetscape, the introduction of traffic signals into the City’s most prominent viewshed, the elimination of the veteran’s memorial, and that the intersection and numerous traffic signals are out of character with the existing urban design of our baroque city plan. Some of these concerns must be addressed by the design of the new market square.

As mentioned earlier, CDAC has not found consensus on how best to address the intersection. Other options were designed and studied, including a modification to the current roundabout. If the City adopted a Modified Circle option (shown below), the lanes entering and within the circle would be narrowed and the circle would be shifted northward on Main Street. This would free up space that could be added to Hopkins Plaza and along the water (shown in orange in the large exhibit below). Traffic engineering evaluations of this option revealed it offered no improvements to existing traffic operations. Further, access to the parking along the buildings at the intersection might have to be limited to right-hand turns from Green Street; this requires additional study.

The other option considered was a traditional traffic circle enclosing pedestrian space similar to Church Circle and State Circle. This option had the advantage of enclosing a large amount of public open space but was judged impractical because pedestrians would have to cross multiple lanes of traffic to enter the encircled public space. The option of doing nothing is also an option that the City may wish to take. The drawbacks of making no changes to the intersection are that there can be no gains in public space or improvements to the pedestrian environment.

In sum, because the main transition envisioned by the community is one toward balance and away from car dominance, the intersection of Compromise, Main, and Randall demands much attention. Getting to a balance does require physical changes to the intersection that must be evaluated further.

The City will prepare, for City Council approval, a plan for the intersections and crosswalks in the study area which considers the area’s dual role as both a destination and a throughway, gathering space for pedestrians, pedestrian and bicycle access to and through City Dock, wayfinding, bus and truck access, loading, and unloading, and the constraints of the historical context (as determined by the cultural landscape report). This plan will include factors both inside and outside the study area that contribute to the congestion at City Dock such as the existing stoplights on Main and Randall Streets and the Spa Creek drawbridge, and the potential impact of the Plan’s implementation on routes outside the study area. This transportation plan is inextricably linked to the parking plan described on p. 22.
Pedestrian-ization

The Plan improves the pedestrian environment throughout the study area. As shown below, crosswalks are located along the lines that link pedestrians from downtown to the water. No longer should pedestrians be hemmed in by bollards and chains and directed to just one location for crossing Randall Street. The proposed signalized intersections at Compromise/Main and Randall Streets and at Dock and Randall Streets would referee the flow of pedestrians and vehicles. In all, three new crossings near the intersection of Randall and Main Streets are added. A prominent crosswalk in front of the Market House is provided and it connects the component elements of the Alex Haley Memorial together—the Compass Rose on the Market House side and the sculpture situated adjacent to the water.

However, before the location or number of crosswalks is altered permanently, there should be an analysis of how the changes would affect pedestrian movement, especially gathering spaces and how people travel to and from the City Dock area. There should also be an analysis of how crosswalks impact traffic patterns.

The Plan would widen sidewalks in front of all existing businesses on Dock Street, Market Space, and the first block of Main Street. It also allows the sidewalk to be widened at Market House along Randall Street. The widening of these sidewalks would allow restaurants to have café seating while also allowing pedestrians to move more freely past tables.
Compromise Street

The Plan’s treatment of Compromise Street is especially important. Currently Compromise can be a rather high speedway into City Dock. At about 36 feet wide, it can also be difficult to cross, especially for families with small children at Newman Street near the playground. Compromise Street is an important link for visitors walking between the downtown and the Marriott Hotel. Therefore, at both the Newman and St. Mary’s Street intersections on Compromise Street, prominent crosswalks and other traffic calming measures should be used to calm traffic speeds and reduce the crossing distance for pedestrians if possible. The City should consider extending a unifying pavement treatment out to St. Mary’s Street. The width of Compromise at this location allows for the loading and unloading of bus passengers at the hotel. This feature should not be negatively impacted by these plans to improve Compromise Street.

The proposed street section along Compromise Street looking toward downtown near the former Fawcett’s property is provided here. Note the Plan calls for retaining two lanes of automobile traffic in the northbound direction and one lane in the southbound (toward the Spa Creek Bridge) direction. The Plan also calls for a designated bike lane northbound leading into City Dock. Bikes and cars would share the lane in the southbound direction, leading out of downtown.

A 15-foot wide sidewalk is proposed along any new building(s) on the former Fawcett’s property. On the opposite side of the street, including along the frontage of the Board of Education property, the Plan recommends installing a planting strip and street trees to buffer pedestrians from cars and provide shade.

A traffic signal may or may not be needed at Compromise and St. Mary’s Streets, but if provided, it would benefit pedestrians who wish to cross Compromise Street but have limited opportunities to do so.
Parking

The main discussion of the Plan’s parking management strategies is set forth in Section B, Part III of this report. The thoughtful management of parking demand and supply is essential to getting the balance right. The City is making strides to reduce long-term parking demand on City Dock in favor of increasing the availability of customer parking. One measure of the success of public private efforts to manage parking on City Dock is the hospitality employee parking program the City recently started. Under the program, employees of downtown restaurants are guaranteed low-cost parking at the Park Place garage and a free Circulator ride to and from City Dock. As the City implements other strategies and adjusts its parking pricing policies, the demand for long-term parking on City Dock will be shifted to public garages. As public improvements are made and the parking management strategies take hold, the number of surface parking spaces would be reduced. Under the Plan, eventually and gradually the number of spaces along Dock Street could be reduced from 199 to about 90 while promoting the rate of turnover in parking spaces. Promoting turnover supports local business’ needs for easy customer access. The City-owned Donner Lot would be improved as a public open space and the City-owned Fleet Lot at Newman and Compromise would become part of new building site. Customer storefront parking would remain throughout the study area as shown below, providing retailers on City Dock with about the number of on-street parking spaces one would find in a comparable business district.

Several proposals that support parking management are worth mentioning here. First, the space shown in green in the exhibit below is “flexible” parking. This could be used for valet parking during the heaviest peak demand, increasing the number of cars parked by at least 20 percent. The space could also be used to guarantee parking for disabled persons or it could have a set aside for motorcycles and be a location for electric vehicle charging stations. During special events, this space at the outer reaches of City Dock could be closed off to traffic at the intersection of Dock and Craig Streets. Second, redevelopment would be encouraged on Dock Street and new buildings could have their own internal parking garages to meet the needs of the users of the buildings and any extra space could be made available for general public use. Third, the City should look to secure valet parking on the Board of Education site as another option for long-term parking.

There needs to be a comprehensive parking plan that addresses the current and future parking needs for the area. Before permanently removing ten percent or more of the City-owned parking spaces or formal or informal loading zone spaces in the City Dock study area, the City of Annapolis will develop and present to City Council for approval a parking management plan which identifies and considers:

- The inventory of parking spaces and loading zones both within the study area and within walking distance.
- The parking spaces, loading zones, and parking management practices necessary to support a vibrant economy in the City Dock study area,
- Specific programs for relocating parking from within the study area to locations outside the study area and strategies for accommodating the distance to the new locations. The parking relocation programs must meet the needs for success of existing and new businesses.
• The economic impacts of those relocation programs,
• The impacts of those relocation programs on parking elsewhere in the City, at other parking facilities and on-street in both business and residential areas,
• Alternatives to parking that will help City residents and visitors access City Dock without the need for a car,
• The costs and expected benefits of those programs,
• The provision of periodic evaluation of parking supply and demand in the study area, and
• The timing of those programs with respect to the anticipated reconstruction of the Hillman Garage.

Existing parking is shown in Appendix I.
The Transition Quantified

The transition to a more walkable and balanced urban form on City Dock is confirmed by a measurement of surface area devoted to cars and to the public realm in the exhibits on this page. The study area is comprised of 16.8 acres. Today 8.3 acres or 49 percent of the City Dock study area is devoted to streets and parking lots. Upon implementation of the Plan the total would drop to 5.7 acres or 34 percent of the study area. By comparison, the amount of public realm space would increase from 5.5 acres or 33 percent to 8 acres of 48 percent.
D. Greening and Sustainability

A central element of the Plan is flood protection. The City has begun to evaluate steps to mitigate flooding on City Dock. Recurring flooding is caused by tidal fluctuations and relatively low elevations ranging from 2.0 feet to about 4.5 feet around City Dock. Storm drains back up during high tide events and stormwater flows out onto Compromise and Newman Streets and into low lying areas on City Dock. More serious flooding occurs when there are high tides and storm surges associated with severe weather events. Sea level rise is compounding the problem and a 2011 study titled *Flood Mitigation Strategies for the City of Annapolis* by Whitney, Baily, Cox & Magnani, LLC (Appendix F), suggests that the occurrence of nuisance or recurring flooding is expected to double over the next 50 years. Conservative projections of sea level rise in the Chesapeake Bay region place the rate of sea level rise at 1.3 feet per century.
Building in Resiliency

The City should begin immediately to engineer the flood mitigation strategies that will address recurring flooding on City Dock. This is a two-part plan. The first step includes installing back-flow preventers on the key drainpipes discharging into Ego Alley. The second step includes tying the drainage system at City Dock together and installing a major pumping station, possibly under the Donner Lot. The pumps would force water that would otherwise overflow from the storm drains out into Ego Alley. These steps would address the flooding that results for tidal and regular rain events and improve the business environment on City Dock. As the streets and other surfaces are rehabilitated following construction, the City should seize opportunities to make serious advances toward public space and pedestrian improvements.

The entire City Dock study area lies within an identified floodplain area. FEMA rules no longer allow for either major renovations or construction of habitable space within a floodplain. Although FEMA does not have jurisdiction over construction at City Dock, their rules mean habitable space built below the 100-year floodplain will be uninsured and ineligible for disaster assistance in future flood events. In order to allow rehabilitation of existing buildings and the creation of new ones, the historic district’s height regulations should be modified to begin height measurement at grade or at the flood protection elevation, whichever is greater, when applicable commission review criteria are met. As now, the Historic Preservation Commission should retain the authority to judge the height and bulk of individual proposals on a project-by-project basis in a fashion consistent with the historic district ordinance and the Historic Preservation Commission’s design guidelines.

Over the long term however, the historic built environment of City Dock and the City’s infrastructure under Dock, Compromise and Randall streets, and Market Space are threatened by sea level rise. In conjunction with the development of a Hazard Mitigation Plan to protect historic resources within the 100-year flood plain that is currently underway, the City will explore and present to the City Council for consideration several strategies for addressing the 100-year flood and sea level rise, including:

- Building a low, configurable seawall as depicted here,
- Building a seawall at the water’s edge or at the sidewalk’s edge,
- Raising buildings subject to the 100-year flood above the flood line,
- Other strategies which may be identified in the course of the study,
- Allowing buildings to flood, and
- Avoiding redevelopment and new building construction within the 100-year floodplain, and improve City Dock with larger pedestrian walkways, plazas, green space, and temporary events, including boat shows, concerts, farmers markets and parking, that can be relocated in advance of flooding and do not need flood insurance.
The study of strategies for addressing sea level rise will include impacts on the historic fabric and infrastructure, visual impact, economic impact, engineering feasibility, insurability of structures, cost/benefit analysis, impact on the use of space in the City Dock area for other purposes, and relationship to the flood control measures and plans of the United States Naval Academy.
Green Spaces and Shade

The Plan adds pervious surfaces on City Dock. As mentioned previously, three parks are shown and the Plan’s preferred option is that these spaces or substantial parts of these spaces be set aside in lawn and landscaping. They could be part of a comprehensive stormwater management approach that will help prevent the effects of unfiltered runoff into the harbor. The green space at the improved Susan Campbell Park alone would approximate 8,200 square feet. The Plan also provides a continuous planting bed, forming part of the seawall.

Lastly, the Plan introduces more trees to City Dock, located so as not to block views but to offer shade at key locations and soften the building mass at other locations. Temporary shade structures, possibly public art installations, should be considered too.

The Plan supports preserving the Newman Street playground and the green spaces on the Old Recreation Center site.
E. Public Art – Nurturing the Uniqueness of Place

A City can declare what is possible, perhaps best through its public art. Possibility has the power to transform in the here and now; it does not require a long wait. A man once said, “My daughter loves to declare what is possible; she will be a great pianist, she says. And in every moment she fills our house with the sound of her music, her possibility is alive. And so I know, it is her future that shapes her today. She is alive in her possibility.” The same is true for Annapolis when it declares what is possible for City Dock.

The job of public art is to provide for the preservation and interpretation of culture and to reveal the great possibilities of a place. Public art is about engaging people at the level where they can experience, participate in, and create in an ongoing way the heritage of their place. Public art should challenge, inspire, inform, reveal, and celebrate. Public art can be a permanent installation or etched into the very fabric of a place. It can be temporary or ephemeral. It can be performance-based and staged or it can be more spontaneous. It can be informative, interpretative, and evocative. Public art is free to the public, made available to every one. Of course it is not free, though, and funding for public art must be part of the design and construction of improvements on City Dock, with contributions made by both the public and private sectors. This Plan embraces public art as basic to the improvement of City Dock and encourages the City to include a public art component in all capital projects on City Dock.

The Space and Infrastructure for Public Art

The Master Plan envisions new public spaces at key locations connected by enhanced pedestrian ways and to the surroundings by sight lines and views. Since the big ideas have been largely “worked out” in the Master Plan, it would be easy to conclude that public art is simply about what sculpture should be installed within a certain public space, but that would be too narrow a view. Public art, as conceived here, is more than the carving out of a space for a future installation. The spaces themselves, indeed the entirety of City Dock, is the canvas or stage set for public art. As the City moves from this Master Plan stage to more detailed stages of design and building, the spaces and the elements themselves must be seen as public art. For example, the seawall, which is fundamental to protecting the built heritage of City Dock, should have an artistic component. Each of the public spaces, their edges, the seating that surrounds them, the buildings that frame them, and the views contained within them—each element of thoughtful place-making—holds potential. Therefore, artists should be integral members of the design teams that would shape and improve City Dock over the years.
Where public art involves a formal installation, it is essential that architecture and the built and natural environment support that art. Placement is critical. For example, as City Dock adapts to sea level rise and the increasing frequency of flooding, there will be potential to provide prominent space and an improved context for the Kunte Kinte - Alex Haley Memorial sculpture group, compass rose, and story wall. New opportunities for pedestrian circulation and open spaces will be realized under the Master Plan and all improvements must be thoughtfully integrated with these essential existing contributions to the City’s public art.

The proposed market square is at an important crossroads, especially for pedestrians. It is a transition zone between historic Main Street and the water and between residences and the waterfront. It is an obvious location for art in many of its forms and the design of this space must embrace this potential. Market square and the Donner Lot are also sized for outdoor performances that can draw 90 to 150 people, which is perfect for year round community based performances. The larger “flexible” parking area near Susan Campbell Park also holds great possibility for artwork, while retaining its necessary functions as flexible parking area, tour bus turnaround, Boat Show exhibiter space, and entry plaza to the Sailing Hall of Fame. Here the space might call for something more ephemeral that could be seen from afar and draw people and boaters to it, that could cast a shadow, shape a view, or light up the evening sky above City Dock. By contrast, the Plan’s connecting zone between the Newman Street playground and the water’s edge at City Dock provides a great place for the City’s children and families and art could reinforce that connection with fixed installations built into the sidewalks, walls, and plazas. The promenade running the length of bulkhead might well tell the story of the Chesapeake’s seafood industry, the City’s maritime culture, and the watermen of Annapolis.

There are possibilities in the design of key elements on City Dock to advance important ideas and values. City Dock can accelerate the transition to sustainability, for example, by focusing on ecology. A new stormwater system, which could incorporate the green spaces and even the proposed seawall, could tell a story about how civic design itself can improve local water quality. Places can be found along the edges of the bulkhead, perhaps at the foot of Newman Street, for a public oyster-raising program. The pumping station, which would protect City Dock from recurring tidal and stormwater flooding, will be a significant work of civil engineering and therefore might be designed in such a way as to be visible to passersby offering a tangible lesson about resiliency and how things work.

The Plan recommends that the Old Recreation Center at St. Mary’s and Compromise Street retain a public or semi-public use. The second floor of the building, the location for the public meetings on this very Plan, holds promise as a dance studio or other performance space. The first floor of the building too could house activities that are central to the culture of Annapolis, whether maritime, artistic, educational, or recreational. Each of the proposed new or redeveloped buildings on City Dock, either at the former Fawcett’s site or along outer Dock Street, and the spaces that surround them should enrich the authentic experiences of daily life on City Dock for the Annapolis residents.
The Community of Artists

The Annapolis Art in Public Places Commission would have the lead role in convening and leading a "community of artists" in a thoughtful process of shaping and guiding the selection of art on City Dock. Artistic expression on City Dock should challenge and open the community to appreciating City Dock as a living, breathing place of local culture; a place that is on an arc of continual transition and change. Themes derived from the culture of Annapolis, in all its layers, could help shape the work of the community as it engages in the design of the open spaces. The Art in Public Places Commission as manager of public art on City Dock could be especially instrumental in working with landscape and urban design teams, in commissioning works of art, and in assigning subject area experts to advise and guide the community in the selection of projects, especially of permanent art.

A "community of artists" is a term meant to include any person desiring that an authentic culture of Annapolis be retained on City Dock. The community should be engaged in community-based approaches to decision making about design on City Dock. Bringing art to City Dock especially in its temporary and performance-based forms sooner rather than later can help facilitate this. This Plan envisions that City Dock would immediately become a venue for theater, music, and dance. This Plan is an invitation to the Annapolis theatre companies and the community's ballet, choral, opera and symphony artists, among other artists and musicians to act now to help the broader Annapolis community shape the possibility for public art on City Dock. The performing arts are a way to enliven public spaces, but in the context of this Master Plan, they are also a way to help reclaim those spaces, for the public in the first place.
III. Strategies that Support the Plan

A. Management of City Dock

The management of City Dock should be coordinated year-round. The purview of any management function should include the programming of public space, ensuring trash pick-up and cleanliness, reducing clutter, monitoring the progress of implementing visions for City Dock, collecting data, incorporating feedback, coordinating marketing, and supervising Market House operations. This management should support local businesses as well and help them to thrive. Furthermore, the management should advocate for City Dock and protect the historic core. The management of City Dock should receive input from and be responsive to the key stakeholder organizations in the City representing the business community, residents, visitors, and major property owners within the City Dock area.

B. Parking Management

The Plan’s recommended transition to public use, open space, and flood protection, means that there would be fewer surface parking spaces in future years on City Dock. This does not mean, however, that there would be a reduced availability of customer parking. Parking management would be used to promote turnover of spaces and thereby increase the availability of surface parking. A gradual removal of parking spaces guided by the Plan is recommended in coordination with downtown businesses to address business concerns about the reduction in the number of spaces. Parking management strategies can mitigate a reduction in the number of spaces with the principal aim being to ensure that short term customer parking remains available for the businesses located on City Dock, while directing long-term parking users to other locations. This includes downtown employees and employers, tourists, and other visitors. Parking management uses a market based approach to direct drivers to the parking locations that best meet their needs and it reflects the reality that waterfront real estate is valuable and it can provide many public benefits. As long as the least expensive parking in downtown Annapolis is on City Dock, few spaces will be available for the customers of today’s business.

The Parking Plan contains six elements. (1) To professionalize the management of parking, the City would maintain and expand its contracts with the private operator of its parking garages. (2) To reduce the demand for parking on City Dock, the City and area businesses would expand the hospitality employee parking program mentioned earlier to cover more employees. To date about 750 employees have signed up for this program, which will have a measurable impact on the availability of parking. (3) To keep customer parking available the City would deploy performance pricing which incentivizes short-term customer parking on City Dock by charging very little for the first 30 to 45 minutes, but increasingly more for
longer stays. (4) To make the most effective use of available surface parking lots during peak periods, the City’s contractor would valet park certain lots. Valet intake stands could be set up near the proposed market square and the Donner Lot. (5) To provide low cost options for tourists and visitors, the City would maintain low prices in its garages and the free Circulator. (6) To direct people to the parking that best meets their needs, the City would implement its newly prepared Wayfinding Plan and smart meter technologies including smart phone apps. (7) To expand the capacity of Hillman Garage, the City contractor would valet park the ground level and structure its pricing to gradually reduce the number of employee parking contracts.

When the City has more information about the timing of plans to reconstruct Hillman, it should develop, in concert with downtown businesses, a strategy to address the anticipated shortfall during reconstruction. The number of parking spaces at Hillman Garage should be expanded through the reconstruction to the extent practicable. (For more information, see Appendix G)
C. Traffic Engineering

Thoughtful, skilled, and context-sensitive traffic engineering must continue on City Dock as the Plan is moved into various stages of implementation. The City’s consulting engineers on this project, Sabra, Wang, and Associates, Inc., evaluated the proposed intersection configurations discussed in this report. The results of their assessment of the “T” intersection, which is featured in the design of the Master Plan, are summarized below. A more detailed analysis, including the evaluation of other options, can be obtained by contacting the City’s Planning and Zoning Department.

For the “T” intersection, the traffic control changes, including the removal of the unnecessary signal at Randall and Prince George Streets, would maintain the average automobile travel times to, from, and through City Dock and even reduce travel times during the morning weekday rush and at other non-peak times during the day. With less side street traffic during such times, the signals would be set to favor traffic on Compromise and Randall Streets so that it would flow as efficiently as under existing morning or non-peak conditions. With dynamic signal timing, right turns on red from Compromise Street to Randall Street (and other movements) would be allowed because there are fewer pedestrians.

During the weekday evening peak, an overall average travel time increase of between 10 to 20 seconds would be expected due to signal changes for the side street traffic. In general, drivers, who under current conditions, wait at stop signs to turn, for example, from Dock Street left onto Randall Street, would experience similar or reduced delays while drivers traveling between the Naval Academy and Eastport would experience an increases of about 30 seconds on average. This would be mostly due to the wait for the left turn from Randall Street to Compromise Street.

During peak traffic periods on City Dock, such as Saturday afternoons, delays for auto traffic would be significantly reduced by the proposed “T” intersection, with average delays for trips to, from, and through City Dock reduced by two minutes or more. This would occur primarily due to the regulated control of auto and pedestrian flows. Drivers would be prohibited from turning on a red light and lights would go red nearly simultaneously at each signal to allow all pedestrians at all intersection to move concurrently. A major new pedestrian crosswalk in front of Market House is proposed and it too could be signalized, though this may not be required.

Among the supporting changes, the Plan also recommends reversing the direction of flow on Market Space and installing a signal at the intersection of Randall Street with Dock Street/Market Place. This change allows easier access to Market Space via a right turn from Main Street or a through movement from Green Street. The space currently dedicated to the left turn lane on Randall Street could then be eliminated to narrow the street and provide more public space in front of Market
House. Access to and from Pinkney, Fleet, and Cornhill Streets would be maintained. This could be a first phase of improvements and could be done without changing the current circle. The conversion of Memorial Circle to a “T” intersection along with the other improvements could occur later with the public space improvements.

Achieving the travel time reductions during the Saturday afternoon peaks mentioned above would require discouraging traffic on Green Street from making a two-part turn—that is, right onto Main Street with a quick left onto Randall Street. This could be done in part through signage that direct such trips to City Dock via St. Mary’s Street rather than Green Street and/or by directing Green Street drivers across Main Street to Market Space and from Market Space to Randall Street. The City’s wayfinding improvements, along with the transition to better parking management, and the use of the Circulator would each help with this and, indeed, would benefit all traffic operations on City Dock during the busy times of the year.
D. Future Land Use

The Plan proposes three opportunity sites for redevelopment around City Dock, as described on page 12. In order to encourage prudent, historically artful, private investment at City Dock the Plan must give careful consideration to land use and ownership in the area. The opportunity sites on outer Dock Street and along Compromise Street overlay both public and private land and, in part, the Waterfront Maritime Conservation District.

As previously described, the Plan envisions a promenade along the water’s edge, potentially backed by flood control structures. The promenade connects to the Compromise Street sidewalk along the northwest side of Newman Street. In order to accommodate these uses, the WMC District should incorporate a 50- to 55-foot setback from the water for primary structures, and a 20-foot setback from the northwest side of Newman Street.

In order to attract investment, the Plan envisions that the areas of the opportunity sites are re-zoned to be compatible with the nearby commercial properties and to allow uses currently provided for in the C-2 District. Any other rezoning should be consistent with the recommendations of a new zoning and economic maritime sector study covering all of the current maritime districts in the City.

The three development areas could be rezoned to allow more commercial uses while the Waterfront Maritime Conservation Zone could wrap around Market Slip.
The two opportunity sites on Compromise Street and outer Dock Street sit on a variety of parcels, some of which are in City hands and some of which are in private hands. The private parcels along outer Dock Street have several different owners. In order to implement the opportunity sites the City will need to negotiate with property owners and engage in property transactions or ongoing relationships that could include public-private partnerships. There is clear public interest for the City to do so: acquiring space for the waterside promenade and potential flood control structures on the Compromise Street side of Market Slip; improving pedestrian flow and activating the retail environment on the Dock Street side.

It is imperative, however, that the City receives value for the contribution of its own properties to the opportunity sites. In addition the City would be exchanging its own revenue-producing properties (primarily parking and boat shows leasing fees) for development that may result in higher property tax revenues. The City must understand and factor in the impact of the proposed development on its operating budget while negotiating with property owners.

The uses of land on the west side of Compromise Street, presently zoned C-1 and C-1a, should largely remain unchanged.

The Plan recommends a provision that requires the removal of the non-conforming billboard signs on Dock Street by appropriate legislation, as provided for under state law.

E. Capital Planning and Phasing

The Master Plan for City Dock could be implemented in 20 years. Implementation of a Master Plan is not linear; it is strategic and depends on funding and the ability to link short-term projects with the longer-term vision. Implementation is an ongoing process that must respond to opportunities. Here are the principles for phasing on the City Dock Master Plan:

- Prioritize mitigating the flooding problem. The first two phases of the work are generally understood already, now the City must move assertively to undertake the necessary engineering and construction.
- Leverage capital investments that have to be made anyway, including for example the repair of the bulkhead. This and related public works will be disruptive and when the spaces are rehabilitated, they should be rebuilt in accord with the Master Plan.
- Use capital funds to leverage grants. Grant seeking is especially relevant for City Dock given the variety of linked public interests at stake.
- Convert parking to public spaces as the parking strategies bear fruit. This requires that the change in use and demand of parking be monitored so that information is available to make informed decisions. The new smart meter technologies that the City will implement in 2013 will allow this.
- Upon initiation of any major work on City Dock, the City should underground the utility lines that run above Dock Street.
F. Implementation

The Master Plan is an outline plan for the future direction of the City Dock area. It leaves many questions unanswered, many of which are already identified in the document which will be the subject of future study. Other questions, which must be addressed and brought to City Council for evaluation as components of the Plan proceed, include:

Costs – As best as possible, divide up the Plan into separate parts/options and estimate costs, timeframe and major dependencies for each. What will be the major impacts on businesses and residents?

Benefits – What future savings will the City see if it implements this Plan? How much more could the City expect in property taxes/increased tax base if the project were wildly successful? Only mildly successful?

Overall impact of each significant element – Using the costs and benefits gathered above, and the intangible benefits outlined in this Plan, assess the overall impact of each element on the City, the businesses, and the residents. Consider, as well, the impact of doing nothing, or much less. Identify the key risks with each approach.

Timeline – The City should develop timelines by which the implementation could be phased in with contingencies so that the public is encouraged to have reasonable expectations and all can gauge progress. Achievable and recognizable milestones will be very important to implementing a vision that could take 20 years to complete. The timeline should identify which components of the Plan are contingent on other components of the Plan. It should include dependencies on key external factors and events such as the anticipated reconstruction of Hillman Garage (see Appendix H)

Implementation plan – A robust implementation plan will be critical to achieving this vision. With the loss of parking in the immediate dock areas and the prospect of the area being disrupted for a lengthy period of time for normal business activities it is vital to provide needed incentives to business and property owners as stakeholders.
4. Conclusion

The preparation of a Master Plan is at its heart an act of community good will. A good Master Plan aspires to be of service to the public, and in the case of the City Dock Master Plan, to thoughtfully reveal the potential that exists in one of the City’s most prominent places. A 25-member citizen advisory committee, guided by community input, assembled this Plan and it now shares this Plan with the full community. The process followed in preparing this document has given voice to many concerns, arising from many perspectives, that City Dock can and should be improved while always preserving the essence of the Annapolis’ beautiful historic seaport. This document does speak of change and that is undeniable. However, it speaks of gradual change and needed improvements that fit into a unique historic context.

Out of respect for the rich heritage, the merchants that make their living at City Dock, and the many Annapolitans that experience City Dock as a unique place of culture, this Plan should be used as a guide to improvements, not as a final or fixed design. Where possible, the ideas in this Plan should be flexibly ground-tested and evaluated on an ongoing basis. When changes are made, the results should be evaluated, and if and where adjustments to the Plan are called for, those changes should be made. This Plan is also an invitation to all members of the community who would like to see implementation happen sooner rather than later: begin now to shape and improve City Dock through your choices to walk to local businesses, to shop and dine downtown, to program events that speak to the area’s unique sense of place, and to gather in the very same places that in the future the City would improve as public spaces. Do this and you will help realize the possibilities that this Plan speaks about.