

Notes from City Council Work Session 5/5/04

Participants: Ald. Hammond, Ald. Tolliver, Ald. Kelley, Ald. Fox, Ald. Cohen, Jon Arason, Clive Graham, Daria Hardin

Observers: Cardie Templeton, Chris LaDew

Much of the initial discussion concerned the materials given to Council members and their versions. Although there are numerous pieces of background material and sections that had been works in progress, the version to suggest amendments from should be the actual legislation (O-1-04). This can be distinguished by noting the line numbers along the left-hand side of each page. If there are any lingering questions, please let Daria Hardin know as soon as possible.

We then discussed the timeframe for review of the ordinance. It was decided that we would have as many work sessions as needed for Council members to work through the legislation from beginning to end. The next work session will be in July, so as to give Council members time to work through the budget.

A list of proposed amendments was handed out to participants and observers. This list represent the first draft of an amendments, which I'm sure will grow as we work through O-1-04. It was generated from comments at various meetings, staff review and Planning Commission review. An edited version is attached to these notes.

Comments on these notes were as follows:

- There should be some way of knowing who proposed the amendment. (Note: A document for Amendment Tracking has been created and is attached.)
- When written comments come in from citizens and P&Z responds, all correspondence should be copied to the Alderpersons.
- There was discussion concerning the advisability of adding an alternate member to the Board of Appeals (Amendment A). We will find out how often not achieving a quorum has been a problem.
- Amendment A needs to be edited to reflect the change to Planned Developments proposed in another amendment.

Then, the group began to work through the legislation beginning with changes to other sections.

This is a list of follow-up items from the work session and their resolutions.

Items outside of Title 21

Page R-2, lines 1-3, 17.60.010B – It was suggested that we change the word “should” to be “shall” to make this mandatory. It is reflected in Amendment M.

Page R-3, line 7, 17.60.060 – The language that is in our legislation (solely for context) is correct. There was a discrepancy between the printed code, the on-line version and the

version we had gotten electronically. The printed code and the on-line version were incorrect because Lexis Nexis had not incorporated O-38-01. John Spencer had the corrected version (which we used) and has rectified the situation with Lexis Nexis.

Page R-6, lines 33-36, 17.60.160 – This is concerning removing language concerning fines as per O-5-04 that the City Council is currently considering. We will write an amendment to address all the changes caused by O-5-04, including those in sections 21.56.120 and 21.36.030. (Amendment N)

Page R-7, lines 28-32, 2.16.090 – This language had changed as a result of O-20-03, but was not incorporated into O-1-04 correctly. It is reflected in Amendment O.

Division I

21.04.020 Effective Date of Ordinance – The concerns here are about inadvertently allowing undesirable things by moving the date forward. Jon Arason offered to discuss this question with the City Attorney.